

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23969 of 2019**

**Date of decision: July 19, 2019**

Sukhbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Udeyveer Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.74 dated 19.03.2019, under Sections 420, 406, 466, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamunanagar.

As per prosecution case, petitioner cheated the complainant-Bank by producing forged and fabricated documents.

On 24.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that entire principal loan amount has already been deposited by the petitioner and he is ready to join the investigation.*

*Notice of motion for 19.07.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim*

*bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Joginder Singh, has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 24.05.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 24.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 19, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**