

203-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 23998 of 2019 (O&M)
Decided on: 03.10.2019

Surjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Khaira, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.39 dated 23.04.2019, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

The operative part of the order dated 02.07.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Surjit Singh, who is referred to as Sidhu in the suicide note, has no connection with the co-accused or the deceased and even his name has not been properly mentioned in the FIR and the suicide note, which raises a suspicion. It is further submitted that in the suicide note, it is mentioned that petitioner Ravesh Kumar @ Ruby Garg had helped the deceased in obtaining the loan from the PNB, where the co-accused Jagdev Singh was present, who sanctioned the loan of Rs.2,05,000/- and he has

already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 14.06.2019 passed in CRM-M-26855-2019.

Learned counsel further submits that though in the FIR, there is a reference of Krishna Finance, however, no loan was obtained by the deceased from the Krishna Finance.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sukhjinder Singh, could not dispute the fact that the loan was taken only from PNB.

List again on 19.09.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 02.07.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Gurpreet Kaur, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

03.10.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No