

CRM-M-23882-2019

Date of Decision:04.12.2019

Jaspinder Kaur @ Jasmeen and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Y.S.Turka, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.
Mr.Sandeep Godara, Advocate for
Mr.Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for the complainant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Petitioners have filed the present petition for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty.

The petitioners claim that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7.

Keeping in view the assertions made on 23.05.2019, following order was passed:-

“The petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have got married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. They have placed on record photographs Annexures P-3 and P-4 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 21.05.2019 Annexure P-6 to respondent no.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab, appearing for the State of Punjab is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent no.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

Adjourned to 31.05.2019.”

On 31.05.2019, status report by way of affidavit was filed in which it was disclosed that parents of petitioner No.1 had already died and she is a married woman having 4 years old child. Keeping in view the above said facts, the petitioner was directed to be present in the court on the date fixed.

On 22.08.2019, a show-cause notice was also issued as to why the proceedings under the Contempt of Court Act be not initiated.

Reply by way of affidavit of petitioner No.1 and 2 has been filed, tendering unconditional apology.

In view of the above, the matter is directed to be closed.

Disposed of.

04.12.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No