

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3471-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 01, 2019

Davinder Dange

...Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar Bhasin and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Perdhuman Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 01.05.2019 (Annexure P-7) and order dated 17.05.2019 (Annexure P-9) passed by the executing Court whereby an application under Order XXI Rule 29 CPC stood dismissed.

At the time of arguing the civil revision, a limited prayer had been made by learned counsel for the petitioner that he would require some time to vacate the demised premises. This Court, while acceding to the prayer of the petitioner had allowed him time to vacate the premises on or before 25.06.2019 on the condition that he would file his affidavit before the Rent Controller and also clear all arrears of rent @ ₹15,000/- per month within a period of one week from 24.05.2019.

Mr. Perdhuman Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of order dated 24.05.2019, the petitioner has handed over the possession of the demised premises on 25.06.2019 itself, which has duly been acknowledged by two witnesses and therefore the instant petition has now been rendered infructuous.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also perused the photocopy of the acknowledgment slip receiving the possession of the demised premises. In view of this, no further orders are called for.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

July 01, 2019
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No