

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52351-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 13, 2019

Krishana and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Anjali Rani, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.281 dated 12.07.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Civil Line, Sonipat, District Sonipat, Haryana and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Jyoti wife of Sharvan. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Earlier, petitioner had filed *CRM-M-35649-2018* for quashing of FIR No.281 dated 12.07.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Line, Sonipat, District Sonipat, Haryana, which was allowed against co-accused Sharvan.

Statements of the parties have already been recorded wherein it has been reported that compromise entered between the parties is genuine, without any undue influence or coercion.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant), have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. Consequently, FIR No.281 dated 12.07.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Line, Sonipat, District Sonipat, Haryana and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua petitioners.

March 13, 2019
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no