

CRM-M-52293-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52293-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 18.01.2019**

Krishan @ Billu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-41750-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-52293-2018

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.62 dated 12.03.2016 under Sections 201, 365, 392, 412 and 435 of the IPC (initially FIR was registered under Section 392 of the IPC), registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-52293-2018

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been got registered by Jogender stating that the four unknown persons had snatched his Scoda Fabia car. He also alleged that the four persons boarded his car and on gun point, they made him to sit on the back seat of the car. Besides this, they also snatched his mobile phone and Wallet containing ₹400-500/- and some documents.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that complainant-Jogender has not supported the case of prosecution.

The petitioner has been in custody since 13.06.2016. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

18.01.2019

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes

No