

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212)

Crl. Misc. No.M-23889 of 2019

Date of Decision: 05.11.2019

Vijay Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact a compromise has been reached between the petitioner and the complainant, who is the brother of petitioner no.1, which fact is also not denied by the learned State counsel on instructions from Sub-Inspector Om Parkash.

Though the petitioner has not joined investigation, the factum of a compromise having been reached between the parties is not denied.

That being so, the order dated 24.05.2019 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

05.11.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: no