

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14107-2019

Date of decision: - 24.05.2019

Krishana Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Manoj Bakshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though she had worked on the post of Superintendent Grade-II from 07.12.2010 till she retired on 31.01.2018 after availing one year extension in service, but the benefit of ACP after rendering four years service on the said post was not extended to her.

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 19.02.2019 (Annexure P-7) with the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the

respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 19.02.2019 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

May 24, 2019
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No