

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.52085 of 2018

Date of decision: 15th November, 2019

Hamit Kumar Sharma @ Honey Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ketan Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.
Mr. Ashish Soi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 06.08.2019 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Manisha Sharma and the accused namely Hamit Kumar Sharma alias Honey Sharma, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 as well as learned counsel for the accused/petitioner have made statement that as per instructions received, the parties had given final effect to their agreement settlement/compromise (Annexure P2) and that nothing is due

towards anyone on account of this settlement and that the final effect be given to this petition.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.0011 dated 24.01.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC pertaining to Police Station Women, District Ludhiana and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 15, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No