

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.3461 of 2019

Date of decision: 25.07.2019

Savitri Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Anirudh Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Adish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge is to the order dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Faridabad, whereby application filed by defendant No.3-petitioner for conducting the DNA test, has been declined.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that as per petitioner, when she was got admitted in the school, her uncle Hazari Lal had accompanied her and in the column of name of father, Hazari Lal had been written as father of the petitioner.

On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the trial is at the stage of final arguments.

Since the evidence of plaintiff-respondent No.1 and defendants has since been concluded, application for conducting DNA test of defendant No.3 and the plaintiff has been rightly dismissed by the trial Court by observing that this is only a tactic to collect the evidence in order to ascertain the relationship. Moreover, DNA test cannot be conducted

without the consent of plaintiff.

Having examined the impugned order, no illegality, much less perversity, has been found therein warranting interference by this Court.

No merits.

Dismissed.

25.07.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No