

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14656 of 2019

Date of Decision : 21.11.2019.

Sandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Naven Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to release the dearness allowance to the petitioners by adopting the principle of equal pay for equal work as also the law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 213 of 2013 in case titled as ***State of Punjab v Jagjit Singh***.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/3 dated 15.03.2019 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/3 dated 15.03.2019, in a time bound manner.

3. Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in the representation Annexure P/3 dated 15.03.2019, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order along with the representation Annexure P/3 dated 15/03/2019.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

21.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No