

(120) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13983 of 2019
Date of decision: 31.05.2019

Shruti Bansal
Versus

..... Petitioner

Regional Secretary, CBSE, Panchkula
and others

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Ms. Rubal Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to correct the petitioner father's name in the certificate of AISSC Examination-2014 and Senior School Certificate Examination held in March 2016.

[2] Learned counsel contends that legal notice, Annexure P-8 dated 14.02.2019 was served by the petitioner amongst others on the Regional Secretary, Central Board of Secondary Education, Regional Office, Sector-5, Panchkula qua the grievances which are the subject matter of the writ petition. In response thereto, reply/communication, Annexure P-9 dated 15.03.2019 was received that the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) had not received any request from the petitioner as had been mentioned in the legal notice, therefore, counsel for the petitioner was requested to direct the petitioner to submit an application along with Roll No. through the Principal of respondent No.3 school along with the documents detailed in reply/communication, Annexure P-9 dated

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner had earlier supplied the documents sought vide Annexure P/9, but the petitioner would once again furnish the said documents through the Principle of respondent No.3 school and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if writ petition was disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the prayer of the petitioner on receipt of representation along with the relevant documents asked for vide reply/communication, Annexure P-9 dated 15.03.2019 through respondent No.3.

[4] Notice of motion.

[5] Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate for Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and states that he has no objection to the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

[6] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to furnish documents as have been sought for vide reply/communication, Annexure P-9 dated 15.03.2019 through the Principal of respondent No.3. On receipt of the aforementioned documents, respondent No.1 would consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in accordance with the rules and regulations applicable as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of submission of certified copy of this order along with representation and documents as per details given above.

31.05.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.