

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

240

CRM-M-23896 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2019

Diksha Aroa and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. A.P.S. Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 24.05.2019, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have got married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. They have placed on record photographs Annexures P-2 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 20.05.2019, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, appearing for the State of Haryana is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent No.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

Adjourned to 31.05.2019.”

Status report was filed by the State counsel along with the statements of father and mother of petitioner No.1 in which they have stated that the petitioner No.1 has already married with petitioner No.2 and therefore, now they will not interfere in the married life of the petitioners. Today, learned State counsel has submitted that the statement of respondent No.6, who is brother of petitioner No.1, has also been recorded and he is also stated in the same lines. Copy of the statements and affidavit of respondent No.6 has been filed which is taken on record.

On hearing the statement of learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition, if there is any threat to their life and liberty.

05.07.2019

D.Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No