

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23674-2019

Date of decision: 30.05.2019

Chandan Tandon @ Sanjay Tandon

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Rajvir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.63 dated 03.06.2018 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, District Roopnagar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 23.05.2019: -

“....Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 10.05.2019 passed in CRM-M No.53299 of 2019, vide which the anticipatory bail granted to the co-accused Pankaj Tandon was made absolute. The operative part of the said order reads as under:-

“....Counsel for the petitioner submits that only 03persons

have come forward to record their statements that they have paid Rs.6,000/- each to the petitioner and the petitioner is ready to deposit the said amount before the Illaqa Magistrate subject to final outcome of the case.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASIMohan Lal, has not disputed the factual position that only 03 persons/victims have come forward for recording their statements that they had paid Rs.6,000/- each to the petitioner.

List again on 22.04.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 13.02.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mohan Lal, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation. It is also submitted that the petitioner has deposited the amount of Rs.18,000/- with the Illaqa Magistrate.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 13.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.””

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the

aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohan Lal, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 23.05.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

30.05.2019
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No