

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13782-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.05.2019**

Sumanpreet Kaur & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek a mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty on the plea that they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Counsel submits that both the petitioners have attained the age of majority. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 01.03.1995 and petitioner No.2 is 28.12.2000.

It is submitted that both the petitioners are living together even though not married.

Having heard counsel for the petitioners and having perused the pleadings on record and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioners to approach respondent No.3 i.e. the Station House Officer, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala as regards their threat perception which has been voiced in the instant writ petition.

In the eventuality of any application being made by the petitioners, respondent No.3 would be obligated to examine the same and to thereafter take steps as he may deem fit and warranted in accordance with law.

It is clarified that this Court by virtue of passing of the instant order has not opined as regards relationship between the petitioners.

Disposed of.

22.05.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |