

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 1159 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.07.2019

Prof. Lakhwinder SinghAppellant

versus

Chancellor, Guru Nanak Dev University, and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Ms. Monica Chibber Sharma, Sr. Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amrit Paul, Advocate, for caveator-respondent No.3.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

After having heard learned counsel for the appellant, there appears to be no dispute with respect to the fact that appeal is rendered infructuous due to efflux of time. However, the only issue survives which is being pressed by learned counsel for the appellant is imposition of costs by the learned Single Judge.

Considering the facts and circumstances in its entirety, while disposing this appeal as infructuous we find that since imposition of costs was unwarranted and unjustified, we set-aside that order of the learned Single Judge whereby a costs of Rs.50,000/- was imposed upon the appellant.

Appeal accordingly stands disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

18.07.2019
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√