

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3544 of 2019

Date of Decision: 28.05.2019

Dalip Singh and another

-Petitioners

Vs

Jit Singh (since deceased) through LRs and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Grievance of the petitioners is that warrants of attachment have already been executed and the property has already been attached.
2. In view of that, order dated 25.03.2019 should not have been passed by the trial Court.
3. Learned counsel submits that petitioners are the decree holders and they would be satisfied if the execution petition is directed to be disposed of within a time bound manner.
4. Today is the date fixed for consideration on warrants of attachment.

5. Since the property has already been attached, therefore, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this revision petition without issuing any notice to the respondents as it would further delay disposal of the case.

6. In view of above, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Executing Court to proceed with the execution by adjourning the case for short intervals and every endeavour shall be made to decide the execution, preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

28.05.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No