

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13779 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2019

KULDEEP SINGH

... *Petitioner*

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...*Respondents*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Satyaveer Singh, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankush Chowdhary, Advocate,
for respondent No. 6.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
for respondent No. 7.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved against the orders dated 22.03.2018 by which he has been proceeded against *ex-parte* and dated 07.06.2018 by which an application under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation), 1961 (for short “the Act”) filed by respondent No. 7 for seeking eviction of the petitioner from the land in dispute has been allowed.

At the time of notice of motion, counsel for the petitioner had relied upon a writ petition bearing **CWP No. 13577 of 2019**, titled as **Jaswant Singh and Others** Vs. **State of Haryana and Others**, alleging that the facts of the present case are identical with the aforementioned case pending adjudication in this Court but counsel for respondent No. 7 has now informed the Court that the said writ petition has been disposed of vide order dated

CWP No. 13779 of 2019

24.07.2019 with a direction to the concerned authority, who is seized of the application for setting aside the ex-parte order, to decide the same in one way or the other, after hearing both the parties, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of that order.

We have heard counsel for the parties and after examining the record, are of the considered opinion that the issue involved in this case is identical with the issue involved in CWP no. 13577 of 2019, therefore, present writ petition is also disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, who is seized of the application filed by the petitioner for setting aside the *ex-parte* order, to decide the same as early as possible but preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

October 29, 2019

Ess Kay

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

***Whether speaking / reasoned* :** **Yes / No**

***Whether Reportable* :** **Yes / No**