

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 51481 of 2018
Date of Decision:-08.01.2019**

Amandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.111 dated 11.10.2018, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Kulgarhi, District Ferozepur.

On 22.11.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on the similar allegations FIR No.112 dated 11.10.2018 was registered at the instance of Kuldeep Singh against the petitioner and her husband, wherein CRM-M-50667-2018 filed by the petitioner, the notice of motion has been issued for 08.01.2019. It is contended that this FIR

No.111 dated 11.10.2018 is of the same date at the instance of Sukhwinder Singh levelling the identical allegations for sending abroad another person namely Sukhneet Singh. In this case, allegedly an amount of ₹13,00,000/- was paid and that too to Parvinder Singh i.e. husband of the petitioner. The petitioner has already separated from her husband.

Notice of motion for 08.01.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 22.11.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions of HC Talwinder Singh submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.11.2018, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

January 08, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No