

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-51443 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.01.2019

Veero

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.287 dated 01.11.2018 under Sections 406/498-A IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.

Petitioner is stated to be mother-in-law of the complainant.

Vide order dated 22.11.2018, interim bail was granted to the petitioner in the event of her arrest, subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer and joining investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manjinder Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and recovery of articles, including the jewellery, has been effected and thus, custody of the petitioner is no more required.

Mr. H.S. Oberoi, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent no.2 and filed his *vakalatnama* in Court which is taken on record. He has submitted that the complainant has not seen the recovered articles.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation and recovery has been effected from her and her custody is no more required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.11.2018 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

January 23, 2019
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No