

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23825-2019
Date of decision-04.12.2019**

Harish Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

Priyanka Yadav

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of the criminal complaint No.11082 of 18.08.2017 (Anenxure P-2) titled as “Smt.Priyanka Yadav Vs. M/s Omshree Infraheights Private Ltd. and others” filed under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 as well as summoning order dated 23.04.2018 (Annexure P-7).

On 23.05.2019, the prayer for adjournment was made on behalf of counsel for the petitioners and thereafter, on two consecutive dates, no one had appeared in this case and the case was adjourned to 10.09.2019. Again on the said date, a prayer for adjournment was made and the case was adjourned to 20.09.2019. On the said date, again adjournment was sought and the matter was adjourned to 22.10.2019. As there was no representation on behalf of petitioners, therefore, again in the interest of justice, matter was fixed for 04.12.2019.

Today also, no one appeared on the first call and the matter was

passed over, even on the second call, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. It reflects complete lack of interest in the petition.

Apart from the above, the petitioners have laid the challenge to the criminal complaint No.11082 of 18.08.2017 (Annexure P-2) filed under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 as well as the summoning order which was passed on 23.04.2018. The said summoning order is revisable and no such remedy was adopted.

In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

04.12.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No