

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

Criminal Revision No.1272 of 2019

Date of decision : 27.08.2019

Sahil Sood

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Harmeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Zubin Chhura, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 23.04.2019 whereby his application for directing the Investigating Officer to give his voice sample for comparison with the contents of the compact disc has been dismissed.

The petitioner is facing trial for allegedly having possession of 560 tablets of *Alprazolam*.

It is apparent from the impugned order that when the Investigating Officer was examined as PW-1 on 10.11.2016, he had been subjected to lengthy cross-examination but neither the Compact Disk nor its contents had been put to him at that time. No question was put to PW-1 with regard to the conversation with Rajesh Sood who is the father of the accused. The CD, which was the basis of the application for directing the Investigating Officer to give his voice sample, allegedly contains conversation between the Investigating Officer-PW1 and Rajesh Sood. It has also been recorded by the trial Court that the present application has been filed after several years only to delay the trial which is on the verge of conclusion.

I do not find any manifest illegality in the impugned order warranting interference while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 27, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

.