

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12438 of 2011.

Date of Decision: 01.05.2019.

Sangeeta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab
assisted by Baljinder Singh Assistant Director office
of DPI (SE) Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks direction to the respondents to consider her name for promotion to the cadre of Master/Mistress as per letter dated 08.11.2008 (Annexure P-6).

On the last date of hearing, the State was directed to show instructions as to how one Music Teacher, Santosh Mehta was promoted from JBT to the Music Master/Mistress cadre.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the promotion was allowed to Music Teacher, Santosh Mehta on account of *bonafide* mistake on the part of the administration. However, by the time it came to the notice of the administration, the said teacher had already retired in the year 2008. Learned State counsel states that from the cadre of ETT/JBT, the promotion avenues are only to the Centre

Head Teacher and from Centre Head Teacher to Block Primary

Education Officer, whereas, the rule does not provide promotion for the Music Master or Mistress however, the new rules have now come into effect from 21.05.2018 and 25% of the total posts of the Masters/Mistress have been reserved for promotion from Primary Education cadre. The case of the petitioner shall be considered as and when, the petitioner reaches to the consideration zone as per seniority and other norms laid down by the department.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of. The petitioner is free to approach the department in case the issue that there is no promotion from JBT/ETT teacher to Master cadre is pressed against her.

01.05.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No