

235+236+237

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

DATE OF DECISION: 06.09.2019

1. COCP-1967-2019

DARSHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

vs.

GURLOVLEEN SINGH SIDHU, MANAGING DIRECTOR

...RESPONDENT

2. COCP-1968-2019

MANMOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

vs.

GURLOVLEEN SINGH SIDHU

...RESPONDENT

3. COCP-1971-2019

AJAIB SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

vs.

GURLOVLEEN SINGH SIDHU

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the respondents

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of above said three contempt petitions
as similar directions were given by this Court in all the cases.

For convenience, the facts are taken from COCP No. 1967 of 2019.

Civil Writ Petition No. 19638 of 2018 was disposed of on 09.08.2018 directing the respondents to consider the grievances of the petitioners in the legal notice dated 13.06.2018 and to pass speaking order within a period of three months. It was further ordered that in case, the petitioners were still aggrieved by the orders passed, they would be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law.

A short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Gurloleen Singh Sidhu, Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala, filed in contempt petitions, same are taken on record. Copies of the reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners. In the reply, it has been submitted that the payment of interest at the rate of 9% on gratuity and leave encashment have been calculated and deposited in the bank accounts of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioners were directed to collect the cheques with regard to the interest on GPF.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if some grievance still survives with regard to the calculations of interest.

In view of the reply filed in the Court, the contempt petitions are disposed of as infructuos.

While disposing of writ petition, the petitioners were granted liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law. Needless to say that in case any grievance survives, they would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The petitioners are retirees, in cases where they have not collected the cheques till date, let the respondents deposit the cheques in their bank accounts within two weeks from today in which they leave credited the leave encashment and gratuity amounts.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

6th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*