

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13639-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:21.05.2019**

Sukhwinder Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed the instant petition seeking a mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

It is pleaded that petitioner No.2 was married to respondent No.6 and out of such wedlock one male child was born and who is a minor.

Counsel submits that respondent No.6 has ill-treated petitioner No.2 and on account of which she was constrained to leave the matrimonial home. Further urged that petitioner No.2 has not even been accepted back in her parental home. Petitioner No.2 is now staying in the company of petitioner No.1. Both the petitioners are stated to be major.

Having heard counsel for the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioners to approach respondent No.3 as regards their threat perception at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

In the eventuality of any representation being made by the

petitioners to respondent No.3 in such regard, he would be obligated to examine the same and to thereafter take steps as deem fit and in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not ascertained the correctness of the averments made in the petition and is not opining on the liaison/relationship between the petitioners herein.

Disposed of.

21.05.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |