

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

107

CRM-44068-2013 in/and
CRR-3261-2013
Date of Decision : 26.3.2019

Rekha Rani

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. P.S.Sullar, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 5.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CRM-44068-2013

For the reasons recorded in the application the same is allowed
and delay of 28 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

Main case

This revision has been filed against the impugned judgment
dated 6.6.2013 passed by Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala upholding the
conviction of the respondents-accused under Section 323 and 452 read with
Section 34 IPC and released on probation alongwith fine of Rs.1500/- each.

The claim of the counsel for the petitioner is that once both the
Courts below found that the private respondents had entered in the house of

the petitioner and caused injuries to her and her son, compensation should have been paid and the amount of Rs. 6000/- which have been paid as fine can well be paid to the petitioners as compensation. Counsel for the private respondents has argued that injuries to Lakhwinder Singh (the son of the petitioner) was not proved and as regards the petitioner-Rekha Rani the injuries which was proved was abrasion and pain in neck, back, waist and legs.

In my opinion, the interest of justice would be met if the fine imposed on private respondents is increased by Rs.8500/- each. Out of the enhanced fine a sum of Rs.20,000/- would be paid as compensation to Rekha Rani.

The revision petition stands disposed of in above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**26.3.2019
anuradha**

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No