

227
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.11.09 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-23416-2019
Date of decision : 8.11.2019

Roshan Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG Punjab.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 6, dated 17.1.2019, registered under Sections 21,22 of NDPS Act, 1985 at P.S. Sadar Banga, SBS Nagar.

As per allegations in FIR, 12 injections of Buprenorphone 2 ml each were recovered from petitioner.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that recovery of Buprenorphone is only marginally higher than commercial quantity.

Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that out of 12, 2 prosecution witnesses have already been examined.

Without commenting anything on the merits of case and also bearing in mind the fact that petitioner is in custody since 17.1.2019 and is not wanted in any other case, in my opinion, no useful purpose will be served by detaining petitioner behind bars any further. The petition, as such, is accepted. Petitioner Roshan Lal is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

CRM-M-23416-2019

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019-11-09 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

However, in case petitioner indulges in any activity akin to one for which he has been convicted, while on bail, prosecution agency will be at liberty to seek cancellation of order granting bail to petitioner.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

8.11.2019

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No