

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14114-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.05.2019

Roshan Lal

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Pleadings on record would indicate that petitioner has been allotted the work of handling and transport contract at Samana Centre and handling operations at the linked railhead by the Food Corporation of India.

Prayer in the instant petition is for a direction to the concerned police authorities/respondents no.2 and 4 to ensure that the petitioner can carry out the work order allotted without hindrance being put forth by the local truck union office bearers who happen to be respondents no.6 to 15.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sahil Sharma, learned D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4. A complete copy of the writ paper book already stands furnished to him.

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, there would be no need to obtain a response from the State or the private respondents since the limited prayer is for a direction to the local police to ensure that the petitioner is able to conduct his business of transporting material on the trucks of his choice.

In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of with a direction that in case an application/request is made by the petitioner to the local S.H.O/respondent no.4, he would be obligated to examine the same and to thereafter take necessary steps as warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

24.05.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No