

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14503-2019

Date of decision: 16.7.2019

Virender Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Devender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to take legal action against respondent No.5 on the basis of the enquiry reports dated 21.2.2019 (Annexure P-2) and 20.2.2019 (Annexure P-3) conducted by respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the application dated 26.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) in view of the enquiry reports dated 21.2.2019 & 20.2.2019 (Annexures P-2 & P-3), expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the application dated 26.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) in view of the enquiry reports dated 21.2.2019 & 20.2.2019 (Annexures P-2 & P-3), in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

16.7.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No