

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR Nos.3121 and 3122 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.09.2019

Paramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Kanwarjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mrs. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Rekhi, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

By this order, CRR Nos.3121 and 3122 of 2013 shall stand disposed of.

These revision petitions have been filed against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate while deciding complaints under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The order passed by the Judicial Magistrate was also affirmed by the Court of Sessions.

These revision petitions are pending for the last 6 years. On 11.09.2019, counsel for the petitioner had submitted that the parties have entered into a settlement. She prayed for time to file settlement. Today, a settlement styled as compromise deed has been filed which is signed by the

respondent as well as petitioner. It is attested by two marginal witnesses. It is also attested by Notary Public.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-complainant submits that although he could not contact his client, however, he was able to speak to the trial Court lawyer who represented the complainant. He has also confirmed this fact.

Offence under Section 138 is compoundable. Once the parties have settled their dispute with the intervention of respectables, hence offence stands compounded. The deed of compromise is taken on record marked as Mark 'C'.

Accordingly, judgment of conviction and order of sentence shall cease to operate.

In view of the above, both the petitions are disposed of.

13.09.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No