

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 06.03.2019

1. CRM-M-50793-2018

Daljit Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

2. CRM-M-51492-2018

Maninder Singh @ Binna

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

3. CRM-M-53104-2018

Jaswant Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

4. CRM-M-64776-2018

Sandeep Singh Natt

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. T.P.S. Tung, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in
CRM-M-50793-2018 and CRM-M-51492-2018.

Ms. Parmeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in
CRM-M-53104-2018 and CRM-M-64776-2018.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of 4 connected cases i.e. CRM-M-50793-2018, filed by petitioner-Daljit Singh, CRM-M-51492-2018, filed by petitioner-Maninder Singh @ Binna, CRM-M-53104-2018 filed by petitioner-Jaswant Singh and CRM-M-64776-2018 filed by petitioner-Sandeep Singh Natt under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail

in case FIR No.139 dated 11.10.2018, registered at Police Station Sadar Raikot, District Ludhiana under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner(s) as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

The main allegations against the present petitioners are that they had trespassed into the house of the complainant and also caused damage to the house articles etc. The FIR is only under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 19.11.2018, 22.11.2018, 01.12.2018 and 01.01.2019 passed in CRM-M-50793-2018, CRM-M-51492-2018, CRM-M-53104-2018 and CRM-M-64776-2018 respectively by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present cases; without discussing the facts of the cases in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the cases, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 19.11.2018, 22.11.2018, 01.12.2018 and 01.01.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the

conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

06.03.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No