

262

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50728-2018

Date of Decision: 06.12.2019

Arshida and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 8.

On 17.11.2018, the following order was passed:-

“As there is no cogent evidence on record with regard to the age of the petitioners, therefore, this Court deem it appropriate to issue notice to respondents.

It has been submitted that private respondent Nos.4 to 8 are poor persons and are doing labourer and petitioner No.2 Imran is willing to deposit the expenses for their to and fro journey.

Notice of motion for 20.03.2019.

Petitioner No .2 Imran is directed to deposit Rs.5,000/- with the Registry for to and fro journey charges of respondent Nos.4 to 8 within seven days.

In the meanwhile, respondent Nos.1 to 3 are

directed to examine and consider the case of petitioners and if it is found that there is real and genuine threat to their life, limb and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8, then they will be provided protection forthwith. If the situation so demands, the petitioners be also provided facility of Protection Home as per their requirement. However, this order shall not be applicable in case any act/conduct of any of the petitioners pertaining to the application, amounts to an offence.”

Pursuant to said order, reply by way of affidavit of Inderjeet Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter Nuh, District Nuh dated 11.07.2019 was filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3-State, wherein it is stated that the petitioners were joined in the enquiry proceedings and they have categorically stated that they are living happily at their house in Nuh without any apprehension of danger to their life and property and they do not want to take any legal action against any person and their joint statement is annexed as Annexure R-3. Private respondents also stated in their joint statements (Annexures R-1 and R-2) that they will neither make any interference in the personal life of the petitioners nor will create any danger for them.

In view of above, the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

06.12.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No