

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3379 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:09.07.2019**

Ajit Singh Sahota and another **.....Petitioners**
Versus

Varinder Pal Kaur and others **....Respondents**

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the revision petition is for directing the Executing Court to decide the Execution Petition i.e. EXE No.3236 of 2013 (Annexure P-5) filed for execution of final judgment and decree dated 4.6.2012 passed in suit for partition pending before the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Patiala (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.
2. Perusal of the paper book reveals that petitioner No.1 is 81 years of age and petitioner No.2 is 57 years of age. The final judgment and decree of which execution is sought by way of Execution Petition i.e. EXE No.3236 is of the year 2013 while the final judgment and decree is dated 4.6.2012.
3. On the last date i.e. 22.5.2019, status report of the execution proceedings had been called for from the learned District Judge, Patiala. A perusal of the same reveals that the case was fixed for addressing arguments on Objections dated 7.7.2017 and the arguments were partly addressed by the counsel for the decree-holder, thereafter, the case was fixed for 5.7.2019 for filing reply for preponing of the case for hearing of the objections. However,

due to counsel for the Objector being busy in another court, the case was adjourned to 11.7.2019 and notice of application was ordered to be issued to decree-holders for 31.7.2019.

3. Learned counsel states that keeping in view the age of the petitioners as also the fact that the execution is sought of final judgment and decree dated 4.6.2012, the only prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of directions to the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Patiala to consider and decide the execution application in accordance with law expeditiously.

4. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the revision petition by directing the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Patiala to consider and decide the execution petition in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

5. Since the revision petition has been disposed of, pending application stands disposed of accordingly.

July 09, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No