

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50589-2018(O&M)

Date of decision: 19.12. 2019

Gurbaksh Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab
Mr. Sanjiv Pandit and
Ms. Rimjhim Mahajan, Advocates for respondents No.3 to 5

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-complainant has filed the present petition
challenging the order dated 22.10.2018 which reads as under:-

“Previous cost paid. CW 1 Gurbax Kaur
is present and examined. No other CW is present.
Adjournment prayed by the ld. Counsel for the
complainant. Perusal of the file reveals that the case is
fixed for precharge evidence of the complainant since
10.11.2017 and since then the complaint has availed
more than 10 opportunities to concluded the evidence
including the last opportunity. Even the costs were
also imposed upon the complainant to conclude the
evidence but despite of all this the complainant in this
case has failed to conclude the evidence. Today also
an adjournment has been prayed by the ld. Counsel for
the complainant. This court finds no justification in

granting further opportunities to the complainant to conclude the evidence. Accordingly, the evidence of the complainant is hereby closed by order.

Now to come up on 31.10.2018 for consideration on charge.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner should be granted one more opportunity to conclude her entire evidence.

This Court has considered their submissions, however, in view of the fact that petitioner-complainant has already availed more than 10 opportunities for concluding pre-charge evidence, this Court is not inclined to interfere.

Hence, dismissed.

19.12. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No