

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13612-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.05.2019**

Parveen Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has filed the instant writ petition seeking a mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps to ensure protection of her life and liberty by voicing an apprehension that she faces a threat to the same from respondents No.4 to 6.

Counsel submits that petitioner is about 35 years of age and was married to Kewal Kumar in the year 2001. Husband of the petitioner is stated to have left the company of the petitioner and his whereabouts are not known. Respondents No.4 to 6 are the mother-in-law, sister-in-law and husband of the petitioner. It is sought to be contended that respondents No.4 and 5 are maltreating the petitioner and under such circumstances, she has left her matrimonial home and currently residing with her parents.

An apprehension is voiced that the private respondents may take certain steps to forcibly get the petitioner back into the matrimonial fold as also harm her physically.

In view of the above and without even ascertaining the

correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.3 as regards her threat perception. In the eventuality of any such application being filed, respondent No.3 would be obligated to examine the same and to thereafter take steps as he may deem fit in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

22.05.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |