

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13441 of 2019
Date of decision:-20.05.2019

Sukhwinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. B.S.Ichhewal, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed seeking a mandamus for directing the official respondents to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

At the very outset, counsel for the petitioners concedes that petitioner No.2 Kirna Rani is a minor.

Counsel makes a categorical statement at bar that he would not be pressing the present petition qua petitioner No.1.

Accordingly, as per the statement made by counsel, the instant petition is dismissed qua petitioner No.1 and would now survive only qua petitioner No.2.

Counsel further confine the scope of the instant petition only as regards the threat that has been extended by parents and relatives of petitioner No.2 i.e respondents No. 4 to 6 and to prevent her/petitioner No.2 from pursuing further studies.

In the backdrop of the contentions noticed hereinabove, liberty is granted to petitioner No.2 to approach respondent No.3 i.e. S.H.O, P.S Dhanoula, District Barnala as regards the threat perception which she faces from her parents and relatives only on account of her desire of pursuing higher studies.

Petition is disposed of.

In the eventuality of petitioner No.2 approaching respondent No.3 confined to the grievance noticed hereinabove, the matter would be looked into and necessary steps would be taken and as warranted in accordance with law.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

20.05.2019

rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No