

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-50405-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 14.1.2019

Simran Kaur and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners Simran Kaur and Lakhwinder Singh Sodhi had approached this Court seeking issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners and to interfere in their peaceful married life at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5 who are parents of petitioner No.1-Simran Kaur.

It is case of the petitioners that they had known each other since the last 3 years and had been residing together although the parents of petitioner No.1 were against their relationship and did not give any consent for marriage. It is further case of the petitioners that they decided to marry each other and entered into a secret matrimonial bondage without the consent of the private respondents and solemnized marriage on 13.11.2018.

When the matter was put up before a co-ordinate bench of this Court on 16.11.2018, respondents No.4 and 5 were also present in person and who had expressed that they have no objection to marriage of their

daughter as she is residing happily. The matter was, however, referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this court.

Report dated 20.11.2018 of Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court has been received wherein it has been recorded that the private respondents have accepted the marriage of the petitioners and would not interfere in their life in any manner. It is further recorded therein that although one FIR had been lodged against petitioner No.2 for offences under Sections 363/366 IPC but complainant-Inderjit Kaur would not oppose quashing of the same.

Today the learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh has informed that the police has recorded statements of the petitioners to the effect that they do not have any apprehension or threat to their life or security in any manner.

In view of the aforesaid position, it is apparent that there is no threat or apprehension and that the parents of petitioner No.1 have now accepted the marriage of the petitioners. As such, no further directions are required to be issued in this petition, at this stage. The petition, as such, is dismissed.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach this Court in case ever, at a later stage, they nurse any apprehension or threat to their life and liberty in any manner.

January 14, 2019

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No