

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.23286 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.07.2019

Gagandeep @ Rinka

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Venu Gopal Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab for the respondent
assisted by ASI Lekh Raj.

Mr. Karmanjot Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in case FIR No.66 dated 08.10.2018, under Sections 307, 326, 324, 323, 148, 149, 427, 342 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No.1, Pathankot, District Pathankot.

Contends that matter is compromised between the parties i.e. accused as well as complainant and all the remaining co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted the concession of bail by learned trial Court and/or by this Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned Counsel for the complainant.

Although, learned State Counsel is not having any such instructions that the matter has been compromised, but since learned

Counsel for the complainant is not raising any objection, therefore, there is no justification to keep the petitioner behind the bars any more. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

July 15, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No