

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2019 12:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-3377-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.5.2019

Sumayya Petitioner (s)

Versus

Rajiya Bano and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Pandey, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in present revision is order dated 1.5.2019, passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ferozepur Jhirka, District Mewat, vide which application filed by petitioner-respondent No. 1 to adduce additional evidence, was dismissed.

It comes out that petitioner-respondent No. 1 wants to examine handwriting and finger print expert by adducing additional evidence to prove signatures of Shankar Lal (PW5) on transfer certificate of petitioner-respondent No. 1. It is stated that petitioner-respondent No. 1 has examined Shankar Lal (PW5) who has stated that he had not signed/counter signed over transfer certificate of petitioner-respondent No. 1.

It comes out that in this case, respondent No. 1 Rajiya Bano closed evidence on 9.8.2017 and thereafter, petitioner-respondent No. 1 Summaya closed oral evidence on 14.11.2018. If Shankar Lal (PW5) has made any statement, petitioner-respondent No. 1 got opportunity to rebut same while she was leading evidence. Now, case is fixed for arguments. This is election petition and requires to be decided expeditiously.

Therefore, plea that due to mistake of counsel, said evidence could not be led was rightly rejected by trial Court. No ground to interfere in impugned order. Thus, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No