

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 13469 of 2019
Date of Decision : 20.05.2019**

Baldev Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that after his retirement, his pension has been fixed by reducing the basic pay and according to him, no reason has been given as to why his basic pay has been reduced.

A bare perusal of the order would show that the benefit of ACP after 14 years, which was initially granted in 2006, has been withdrawn and again granted in the year 2009 due to which the basic pay of the petitioner has been reduced.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as the said order was passed without affording an opportunity of hearing, petitioner had made a representation with the respondents dated 22.05.2018 (Annexure P-7) and thereafter, another representation dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-8).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner will be satisfied, at

this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representations by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representations, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representations dated 22.05.2018 and 08.08.2018 (Annexures P-7 and P-8) respectively within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

May 20, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*

Whether reportable? *Yes/No*