

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.50273 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 03.04.2019**

Ravinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ayush Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. is for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.231 dated 12.10.2018 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

On 26.02.2019 the following order was passed:-

“Counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions that the petitioner is ready to refund the amount paid by the complainant.

Counsel for the complainant states that out of total amount a sum of Rs.47.00 lakhs was paid in February, 2016 and the complainant should be granted interest on this account. For the remaining Rs.6.00 lakhs he would not seek interest because the money was paid only few months ago.

Counsel for the petitioner has accepted this. He has offered that he would pay a sum of Rs.25.00 lakhs by way of draft to be handed over to the complainant within one week and the remaining amount would be paid in the Court after three weeks. On the sum of Rs.47.00 lakhs he will also pay Rs.11.00 lakhs as interest but the complainant should return the original sale deed.

Counsel for the complainant has accepted this.

Adjourned to 26.03.2019.”

Thereafter on 29.03.2019 the following order was passed:-

“Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has got prepared a draft and is coming from Anandpur Sahib with the same and he will hand over it to him today itself during Court hours. Counsel for the complainant states that he has serious doubts about the intention of the petitioner would not oppose one opportunity.

Adjourned to 3.4.2019.”

Today counsel for the complainant points out that nothing has been paid till date and has argued that the apprehension which he had voiced on the last date has come true and the petitioner has not turned up to make even a single penny.

It is clear that the petitioner is playing fast and loose with this Court and has managed to extend his interim bail by making false statement.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 03, 2019
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No