

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1036-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 22.05.2019

Majesta Constructions Limited

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate,
Mr. Arjun Shukla, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. V.S. Chandhok, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 5.

Mr. Arun Jain, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Deepak Khurana, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

On being confronted with the observation with respect to maintainability of this intra court appeal being directed against an order only adjourning the proceedings and fixing a date, learned senior counsel for the appellant sought leave of the Court to permit withdrawal of the appeal. However, it is stated that on account of heavy pressure of work resulting in the adjournment of the proceedings, an irreparable loss is being caused to the appellant, particularly, in view of the fact that an application seeking interim direction in the interregnum could also not be taken up.

Considering the facts and in the interest of justice,

while permitting the appellant to withdraw the appeal, we

hereby request the learned Single Judge to make an endeavour to take up the matter or at least the application for interim direction pending and pass suitable orders in accordance with law on the date fixed, but subject to his Lordship's convenience.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

22.05.2019
Anodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No