

223/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1934 of 2019
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 18, 2019

PAWAN KUMAR SINGLA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ER. BALDEV SINGH SRAN, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING
DIRECTOR, PSPCL, PATIALA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 21.05.2018 passed in CWP No. 12892 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as below:-

*“3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 14.03.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to decide the same in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-1) amended from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, relief shall stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Saroj Kumari v. State of Punjab and others**”, 1998(3) SCT 664, as there is inordinate delay on the part of the petitioner to approach this Court.*

4. *However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”*

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Mr. Munish Kumar Jindal, Sr. Executive Engineer, Dirba, submits that the directions of this Court have been complied with. The claim of the petitioner was accepted vide order dated 12.04.2019, however, petitioner has given an undertaking dated 18.10.2019 that he does not want the benefit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to contradict the stand taken due to lack of instructions.

Disposed of.

Needless to add that petitioner would be at liberty to revive the present petition in case the statement made above by learned counsel for the respondent is found to be factually false.

OCTOBER 18, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No