

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1933 of 2019

DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 18, 2019

MUKHTIAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

**ER. BALDEV SINGH SRAN, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING
DIRECTOR, PSPCL, PATIALA**

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 24.07.2018 passed in CWP No. 17760 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as below:-

“ Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case but considering the aforesaid aspects as has been unfolded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the various grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 11.05.2018 (P-4) and take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, particularly in the light of the instructions referred to above in para 1 of this order. In case, competent authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, it shall

stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in case “Saroj Kumari vs. State of Punjab & ors., 1998 (3) SCT 664”. In case of passing an adverse order or rejection of this claim putforth by the petitioner, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Mr. Munish Kumar Jindal, Sr. Executive Engineer, Dirba, submits that the directions of this Court have been complied with. The claim of the petitioner was considered and rejected vide order dated 16.08.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not controverting the said fact, however, seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

It would be pertinent to note here that while disposing of the writ petition, the writ Court had already granted liberty to approach this Court, in case of passing an adverse order or rejection of the claim putforth by the petitioner.

Disposed of.

OCTOBER 18, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No