

COCP No. 1910 of 2019

DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 18, 2019

GURCHARAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ER. BALDEV SINGH SRAN, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING
DIRECTOR, PSPCL, PATIALA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 08.08.2018 passed in CWP No. 16424 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as below:-

“3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case but considering the aforesaid aspects as has been unfolded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 14.03.2018 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, particularly in the light of the Circular Nos. 17/90, dated 23.04.1990 (P-1), 52/99 dated 09.11.1999 (P-2) and 20/2000, dated 28.07.2000 (P-3)) as well as the judgments referred to above in para 2 of this order. In case, competent authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, the same be released to him within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law including to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Mr. Varinder Deepak, Sr. Executive Engineer, Sangrur, submits that the directions of this Court have been complied with. The claim of the petitioner was considered and rejected vide order dated 04.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not controverting the said fact, however, seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

It would be pertinent to note here that the writ court had already granted liberty that if petitioner feels aggrieved of the order passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to remedies available in accordance with law, including to approach this Court.

Disposed of.

OCTOBER 18, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No