

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1908 of 2019
Date of decision: 11.9.2019

Rajwanti

.. Petitioner

v.

Navraj Sandhu and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 6.7.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 16221 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondent No. 2 to look into the representations i.e. Annexures P-7, P-8 and P-9, in accordance with law within a period of 8 weeks.”

Learned counsel for the respondents has produced copy of order dated 4.9.2019 passed by Director General, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Haryana, the same is taken on record. Copy thereof

has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. As per the said order, the claim of the petitioner in principle has been accepted and it has been stated that promotion order will be issued later on.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Mohinder Pratap Singh, Assistant Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Haryana states that the matter has been sent for doing the needful and promotion order would be issued after the roster points are fixed by the Government. It has further been stated that on fixation of roster points, promotion order would be issued within three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case the statement made today in court is adhered to, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, in case the statement made today in court is not complied with, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case, the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

11.9.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No