

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13734-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.05.2019

Surender

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

As per pleadings on record, petitioner was issued an Arms License dated 17.8.2004 and which was got renewed periodically up to 16.8.2016.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that an application submitted by the petitioner seeking renewal of his Arms License thereafter had not been processed and no final decision has been conveyed till date.

Counsel very fairly apprises the Court that the petitioner was involved in 7 FIRs and in the trials that have ensued, in 6 cases the petitioner has already earned acquittal and in one of the case petitioner was convicted by the Trial Court on 11.2.2014.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. N.K. Parmar, learned A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. A complete copy of the writ paper book has been furnished to him.

Statement of learned State counsel is recorded to the effect that in case the application of the petitioner seeking renewal of Arms License is pending as of date, the same would be processed in accordance with law and under the provisions of the Arms Act and the rules framed thereunder and a

final decision would be taken positively within a period of four weeks from today and would be conveyed to the petitioner.

In the light of such statement recorded of learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not examined the claim of the petitioner as regards renewal of his Arms License on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.05.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No