

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-49848 of 2018
Date of decision: 06.02.2019**

Mehnga Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 15.11.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh has affirmed the factum of joining investigation by the petitioner but has opposed the bail on the ground that recovery has not been effected.

On perusal of contents of the FIR, it appears that no allegation regarding payment of amount to the petitioner is there and as per averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner, complaint was made after a period of three years.

The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court during trial.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 15.11.2018 passed by this Court in his favour is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

**06.02.2019
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No