

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49795-2018(O&M)

Date of decision: 16.10.2019

Reenu Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Ms. Harpreet Kaur Arora, Advocate for
Mr. R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S.P.S.Tinna, Addl. AG, Punjab
Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate for respondent No.6

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed with the following substantive
prayers:-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the
present petition may kindly be allowed and a direction
may kindly be issued to the Respondents No. 1, 2 and 4
for not to harass and humiliate the petitioner by calling
her in the police station from time and again and for not
falsely implicating the petitioner in case FIR No. 245
dated 12.9.2018 under Section 420 IPC and Section 4/5
Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning)
Act, 1978 PS City Sangrur, District Sangrur in the
interest of justice.

It is further prayed that a direction may
kindly be issued to respondent no. 1, 2 and 4 to get FIR
No. 245 dated 12.9.2018 under Section 420 IPC and
Section 4/5 Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes

(Banning) Act, 1978 P.S.City Sangrur, District Sangrur investigated from some independent agency or from the higher police official of some other District not below the rank of Superintendent of Police, in a fair and transparent manner as the local police is not investigating the said FIR properly and fairly due to political pressure and financial power of respondent no.6.

It is further prayed that a direction be issued to the respondent no.3 and 5 to not implicate the petitioner in any false case as the petitioner is working as Junior Assistant in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur and has no concern with the company of her husband.”

In response to the petition, reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Sangrur has been filed pointing out that as many as 10 victims have lodged complaint against petitioner from time to time and she is a habitual offender. He further submits that on completion of the investigation, challan has been presented qua one of the accused and the petitioner is evading arrest.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find it appropriate to issue directions as prayed for in the petition.

Disposed of.

16.10. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No