

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13381-2019

Date of decision: 29 .5.2019

Satya Rani

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana

Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for quashing the notices dated 31.12.2018 (Annexures P-7 & P-8).

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to sale deed dated 16.12.1983 (Annexure P-1) to contend that the land was purchased by the petitioner from one Amarjeet Singh and since then, the petitioner is in settled possession of the land/plot in issue. The respondents have issued notices dated 31.12.2018 (Annexures P-7 & P-8) for demolition of the part of the structure/ building of the petitioner without affording any opportunity of hearing to her. He further states that she has not been afforded opportunity of hearing before issuing notices for demolition of structure. She be allowed opportunity to present her case before respondent No.4 with a direction for consideration and decision by respondent No.4.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 and 5 submits that the whole exercise is being carried out in pursuance of judgment

dated 4.10.2008 (Annexure P-4) passed by this Court in CWP-4886-2003. Certain residents have filed COCP -1641-2018 against the respondents for not implementing the judgment passed in the said writ petition.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to file a representation before respondent No.4- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ambala alongwith the requisite documents with regard to the proof of ownership and possession of the land in question within ten days from today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ambala to consider and decide the representation to be moved by the petitioner, expeditiously in accordance with law.

In view of the assertions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that she is the bona fide purchaser of the land from the original owner, it is ordered that till the finalization of the representation, if so filed by the petitioner, notices dated 31.12.2018 (Annexure P-7 & P-8) be not acted upon.

29.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No